

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Homer Central School District, New York; School State Program

Primary Credit Analyst:

Steve C Tencer, CPA, New York (1) 212-438-2104; steve.tencer@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Stephen Doyle, New York (1) 212-438-4204; stephen.doyle@standardandpoors.com

Research Contributor:

Vicky Paryani, CRISIL Global Analytical Center, an S&P affiliate, Mumbai

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

Summary:

Homer Central School District, New York; School State Program

Credit Profile

Homer Cent Sch Dist GO sch dist rfdg (serial) bnds		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Affirmed
<i>School Issuer Credit Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Affirmed
Homer Cent Sch Dist GO (ASSURED GTY)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA-(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
<i>School Issuer Credit Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Affirmed
Homer Cent Sch Dist		
<i>School Issuer Credit Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Affirmed
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA-(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services affirmed its 'AA-' long-term rating, underlying rating (SPUR), and school issuer credit rating on Homer Central School District, N.Y.'s series 2006, 2009, and 2010 school district (serial) general obligation (GO) bonds. The outlook is stable.

The rating reflects our view of the district's:

- Large, rural-residential area benefiting from access to the Syracuse, Ithaca, and Binghamton metropolitan statistical areas, resulting in good income levels;
- Strong reserves despite drawdowns in two of the past three audited fiscal years; and
- Low overall debt burden, net of state building aid, coupled with rapid amortization.

The aforementioned credit strengths are offset in part by our view of the district's budgetary pressures due to:

- Loss of state aid, and
- High pension and other post-employment benefit (OPEB) costs.

The district's faith and credit pledge secures the bonds, with the New York State Aid Intercept Program providing additional security.

Homer Central School District serves an estimated population of 12,500 and is located about 30 miles south of Syracuse. The school district is primarily rural-residential in nature, with residents finding employment opportunities in the nearby Syracuse, Binghamton, and Ithaca areas, all of which are within 40 miles. District income levels are strong, in our opinion, with median household effective buying income at 110% of the national level. Market value has remained largely stable since 2010, totaling \$793 million in fiscal 2013, which we consider strong at \$63,600 per capita.

The district projects enrollment of 2,185 students for school year 2013-2014, which is similar to levels observed since 2010. Management projects enrollment to decline by about 1% annually in five years.

In our view, district finances are strong, despite budget deficits in two of the past three audited fiscals. This includes a \$1.28 million deficit in fiscal 2012, due to lower state and federal aid. The district reported balanced operations in fiscal 2013, following a \$1 million transfer from its debt service reserve fund (DSRF), which held \$9.1 million as of year-end 2013. The general fund balance stood at \$9.5 million as of fiscal year-end, or a very strong 26% of expenditures, versus \$9.8 million, or a very strong 28.8% of expenditures, in fiscal 2009. Of the total general fund balance, \$5 million was reserved for workers' compensation and employment benefits, \$2.2 million was unassigned, \$806,000 was assigned, and \$929,000 was restricted for capital reserves. State aid is the district's major revenue source, accounting for 54% of fiscal 2013 general fund revenues, while property taxes accounted for 36% of total revenues.

Officials have adopted a \$38.7 million general fund budget for fiscal 2014; this includes a \$2 million appropriation from the DSRF, although we understand they do not intend to use the full amount. They expect to end fiscal 2014 without using general fund reserves due to expenditure control measures and steadily increasing state aid. Officials have raised DSRF levels over many years by transferring surplus funds, mainly from the general fund. The fund does not contain any monies from bond proceeds.

Standard & Poor's considers Homer Central School District's management practices "good" under its Financial Management Assessment (FMA) methodology, indicating our view that financial practices exist in most areas, but that governance officials might not formalize or regularly monitor all of them.

We consider the district's overall net debt burden very low at \$760 per capita, or 1.2% of market value, net of 95% state reimbursement on outstanding debt service. Carrying charges are 12%, which we consider moderate. Amortization is rapid, with officials planning to retire 95% of outstanding debt over 10 years. District officials are also planning to issue \$21 million for facilities improvements, assuming voters approve a referendum on Dec. 12, 2013. However, we believe the debt burden will remain at a low-to-moderate level, given the significant state building aid received for debt service.

The district participates in state-administered retirement systems, having contributed \$2.2 million in fiscal 2013. The district also contributes to an OPEB plan, funded on a pay-as-you-go basis. Most recently, in 2013, the district contributed \$1.4 million to its OPEB obligation. As of the most recent valuation date -- June 30, 2013 -- the district's unfunded actuarial accrued liability was \$37.9 million. Combined pension and OPEB contributions represent about 9.3% of the district's total fiscal 2013 government expenditures.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our opinion that the district will continue to make necessary budgetary adjustments to maintain current reserve levels. The outlook also reflects our view of the district's location in central New York, which provides additional economic stability. If mismatched revenue to expenditure ratios persist, leading to declining reserves, we could lower the rating. We do not expect to raise the rating within the two-year outlook horizon.

Related Criteria And Research

Related Criteria

- USPF Criteria: Financial Management Assessment, June 27, 2006
- USPF Criteria: GO Debt, Oct. 12, 2006
- USPF Criteria: State Credit Enhancement Programs, Nov. 13, 2008

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2013 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.